

OBSERVATIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

After reviewing data collected from **BIG ISLAND POND, DERRY**, the program coordinators have made the following observations and recommendations.

Thank you for your continued hard work sampling the pond this year! Your monitoring group sampled the deep spot **three** times this year and has done so for many years! As you know, conducting multiple sampling events each year enables DES to more accurately detect water quality changes. Keep up the good work!

A Weed Watcher training was conducted at **Big Island Pond** during **2006**. Volunteers were trained to survey the pond once a month from **June** through **September**. To survey, volunteers slowly boat, or even snorkel, around the perimeter of the pond and any islands it may contain. Using the materials provided in the Weed Watchers kit, volunteers look for any species that are of suspicion. After a trip or two around the pond, volunteers will have a good knowledge of its plant community and will immediately notice even the most subtle changes. If a suspicious plant is found, the volunteers will send a specimen to DES for identification. If the plant specimen is an exotic species, a biologist will visit the site to determine the extent of the problem and to formulate a management plan of to control the nuisance infestation. Remember that early detection is the key to controlling the spread of exotic plants.

FIGURE INTERPRETATION

- **Figure 1 and Table 1:** Figure 1 in Appendix A shows the historical and current year chlorophyll-a concentration in the water column. Table 1 in Appendix B lists the maximum, minimum, and mean concentration for each sampling year that the pond has been monitored through VLAP.

Chlorophyll-a, a pigment found in plants, is an indicator of the algal abundance. Because algae are usually microscopic plants that contain chlorophyll-a, and are naturally found in lake ecosystems, the chlorophyll-a concentration measured in the water gives an estimation of the algal concentration or lake productivity. **The**

median summer chlorophyll-a concentration for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 4.58 mg/m³.

The current year data (the top graph) show that the chlorophyll-a concentration **increased greatly** from **June** to **July**, and then **decreased** from **July** to **August**. The **elevated** chlorophyll concentration in **July** indicated that an **algal bloom** had occurred.

The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the **2006** chlorophyll-a mean is **greater than** the state median and the similar lake median. For more information on the similar lake median, refer to Appendix F. In addition, the **2006** mean chlorophyll is the **second-highest** chlorophyll that has been measured since monitoring began.

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data shows that the chlorophyll-a concentration has **significantly increased** (meaning **worsened**) on average by **approximately 3.6 percent** per year during the sampling period **1990** to **2006**. This worsening trend is concerning. Please refer to Appendix E for the statistical analysis explanation and data print-out.

While algae are naturally present in all ponds, an excessive or increasing amount of any type is not welcomed. In freshwater ponds, phosphorus is the nutrient that algae typically depend upon for growth in New Hampshire lakes. Algal concentrations may increase as nonpoint sources of phosphorus from the watershed increase, or as in-lake phosphorus sources increase. Therefore, it is extremely important for volunteer monitors to continually educate all watershed residents about management practices that can be implemented to minimize phosphorus loading to surface waters.

- **Figures 2a and 2b and Tables 3a and 3b:** Figure 2a in Appendix A shows the historical and current year data for transparency without the use of a viewscope and Figure 2b shows the current year data for transparency with the use of a viewscope. Table 3a in Appendix B lists the maximum, minimum and mean transparency data without the use of a viewscope and Table 3b lists the maximum, minimum and mean transparency data with the use of a viewscope for each year that the pond has been monitored through VLAP.

Volunteer monitors use the Secchi disk, a 20 cm disk with alternating black and white quadrants, to measure how far a person can see into the water. Transparency, a measure of water clarity, can be affected by the amount of algae and sediment in the water, as well as the

natural color of the water. **The median summer transparency for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 3.2 meters.**

The current year data (the top graph) show that the non-viewscope in-lake transparency **decreased** from **June** to **July**, and then **increased** from **July** to **August**.

It is important to note that as the chlorophyll concentration **increased** from **June** to **July**, the transparency **decreased**, and as the chlorophyll **decreased** from **July** to **August**, the transparency **increased**. We typically expect this **inverse** relationship in lakes. As the amount of algal cells in the water **increases**, the depth to which one can see into the water column typically **decreases**, and vice-versa.

The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the **2006** mean non-viewscope transparency is **slightly less than** the state median and is **much less than** the similar lake median. Please refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median.

The current year data (the top graph) show that the viewscope in-lake transparency **decreased** from **June** to **July**, and then **increased** from **July** to **August**, as did the non-viewscope transparency. The transparency measured with the viewscope was **greater than** the transparency measured without the viewscope on each sampling event this summer. As discussed previously, a comparison of the transparency readings taken with and without the use of a viewscope shows that the viewscope typically increases the depth to which the Secchi disk can be seen into the lake, particularly on sunny and windy days. We recommend that your group measure Secchi disk transparency with and without the viewscope on each sampling event.

It is important to note that viewscope transparency data are not compared to a New Hampshire median or similar lake median. This is because lake transparency has not been historically measured by DES with a viewscope. At some point in the future, the New Hampshire and similar lake medians for viewscope transparency will be calculated and added to the appropriate graphs.

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data shows that the non-viewscope transparency has **significantly decreased** (meaning **worsened**) on average by **approximately 2.2 percent** per year during the sampling period **1990** to **2006**. This worsening trend is concerning. Please refer to Appendix E for the statistical analysis explanation and data print-out.

Typically, high intensity rainfall causes sediment-laden stormwater runoff to flow into surface waters, thus increasing turbidity and decreasing clarity. Efforts should continually be made to stabilize stream banks, pond shorelines, disturbed soils within the watershed, and especially dirt roads located immediately adjacent to the edge of tributaries and the pond. Guides to best management practices that can be implemented to reduce, and possibly even eliminate, nonpoint source pollutants, are available from DES upon request.

- **Figure 3 and Table 8:** The graphs in Figure 3 in Appendix A show the amount of epilimnetic (upper layer) phosphorus and hypolimnetic (lower layer) phosphorus; the inset graphs show current year data. Table 8 in Appendix B lists the annual maximum, minimum, and median concentration for each deep spot layer and each tributary since the pond has been sampled through VLAP.

Phosphorus is typically the limiting nutrient for plant and algae growth in New Hampshire's lakes and ponds. Excessive phosphorus in a pond can lead to increased plant and algal growth over time.

The median summer total phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion (upper layer) of New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 12 ug/L. The median summer phosphorus concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer) is 14 ug/L.

The current year data for the epilimnion (the top inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration **decreased** from **June** to **August**.

The historical data show that the **2006** mean epilimnetic phosphorus concentration is **slightly greater than** the state median and is **much greater than** the similar lake median. Refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median.

The current year data for the hypolimnion (the bottom inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration **decreased** from **June** to **July**, and then **increased greatly** from **July** to **August**.

The turbidity of the hypolimnion (lower layer) sample was **slightly elevated** on the **August** sampling event (**1.96 NTUs**). The hypolimnetic turbidity has been **slightly elevated** on many previous sampling events, which suggests that the pond bottom is covered by a thick organic layer of sediment which is easily disturbed. Also, the elevated hypolimnetic phosphorus on the **August** sampling event suggests that **internal phosphorus loading** occurs in the pond as the summer progresses. Please refer to the discussion of **Tables 9** and **10** for more information about internal phosphorus loading.

The historical data show that the **2006** mean hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration is **greater than** the state median and the similar lake median. Please refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median. In addition, the **2006** mean hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration is the **second-highest** annual mean since monitoring began.

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data shows that the phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion (upper layer) and the hypolimnion (lower layer) has **not significantly changed** since monitoring began. Specifically, the epilimnetic phosphorus concentration has **fluctuated between approximately 6.0 and 14.7 ug/L**, and the hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration has **fluctuated between approximately 9.3 and 25 ug/L** since **1990**. Please refer to Appendix E for the detailed statistical analysis explanation and data print-out.

One of the most important approaches to reducing phosphorus loading to a waterbody is to continually educate watershed residents about the sources of phosphorus in a watershed and how excessive phosphorus loading can negatively affect the ecology and the recreational, economical, and ecological value of lakes and ponds.

TABLE INTERPRETATION

➤ **Table 2: Phytoplankton**

Table 2 in Appendix B lists the current and historical phytoplankton species observed in the pond. Specifically, this table lists the three most dominant phytoplankton species observed in the sample and their relative abundance in the sample.

The dominant phytoplankton species observed in the **August** sample were ***Dinobryon* (golden-brown)**, ***Tabellaria* (diatom)**, and ***Chrysosphaerella* (golden-brown)**.

Phytoplankton populations undergo a natural succession during the growing year. Please refer to the “Biological Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation regarding yearly plankton succession. Diatoms and golden-brown algae are typical in New Hampshire’s less productive lakes and ponds.

➤ **Table 2: Cyanobacteria**

A **small amount** of the cyanobacterium ***Anabaena***, ***Microcystis***, and ***Oscillatoria*** were observed in the **August** plankton sample. ***These species, if present in large amounts, can be toxic to livestock, wildlife, pets, and humans.*** Please refer to the “Biological

Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation regarding cyanobacteria.

Cyanobacteria can reach nuisance levels when phosphorus loading from the watershed to surface waters is increased and favorable environmental conditions occur, such as a period of sunny, warm weather.

The presence of cyanobacteria serves as a reminder of the pond’s delicate balance. Watershed residents should continue to act proactively to reduce nutrient loading to the pond by eliminating fertilizer use on lawns, keeping the pond shoreline natural, re-vegetating cleared areas within the watershed, and properly maintaining septic systems and roads.

In addition, residents should also observe the pond in September and October during the time of fall turnover (lake mixing) to document any algal blooms that may occur. Cyanobacteria have the ability to regulate their depth in the water column by producing or releasing gas from vesicles. However, occasionally lake mixing can affect their buoyancy and cause them to rise to the surface and bloom. Wind and currents tend to “pile” cyanobacteria into scums that accumulate in one section of the pond. If a fall bloom occurs, please collect a sample in any clean jar or bottle and contact the VLAP Coordinator.

➤ **Table 4: pH**

Table 4 in Appendix B presents the in-lake and tributary current year and historical pH data.

pH is measured on a logarithmic scale of 0 (acidic) to 14 (basic). pH is important to the survival and reproduction of fish and other aquatic life. A pH below 6.0 typically limits the growth and reproduction of fish. A pH between 6.0 and 7.0 is ideal for fish. The median pH value for the epilimnion (upper layer) in New Hampshire’s lakes and ponds is **6.6**, which indicates that the surface waters in the state are slightly acidic. For a more detailed explanation regarding pH, please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report.

The mean pH at the deep spot this year ranged from **6.34** in the hypolimnion to **7.03** in the epilimnion, which means that the water is **slightly acidic** near the pond bottom and **approximately neutral** at the pond surface.

It is important to point out that the pH in the hypolimnion (lower layer) was **lower (more acidic)** than in the epilimnion (upper layer).

This increase in acidity near the pond bottom is likely due to the decomposition of organic matter and the release of acidic by-products into the water column.

Due to the presence of granite bedrock in the state and acid deposition received from snowmelt, rainfall, and atmospheric particulates, there is not much that can be feasibly done to effectively increase pond pH.

➤ **Table 5: Acid Neutralizing Capacity**

Table 5 in Appendix B presents the current year and historical epilimnetic ANC for each year the pond has been monitored through VLAP.

Buffering capacity (ANC) describes the ability of a solution to resist changes in pH by neutralizing the acidic input. The median ANC value for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **4.9 mg/L**, which indicates that many lakes and ponds in the state are at least "moderately vulnerable" to acidic inputs. For a more detailed explanation about ANC, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

The mean acid neutralizing capacity (ANC) of the epilimnion (upper layer) was **10.8 mg/L**, which is **greater than** the state median. In addition, this indicates that the pond has a **low vulnerability** to acidic inputs.

➤ **Table 6: Conductivity**

Table 6 in Appendix B presents the current and historical conductivity values for tributaries and in-lake data. Conductivity is the numerical expression of the ability of water to carry an electric current, which is determined by the number of negatively charged ions from metals, salts, and minerals in the water column. The median conductivity value for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **40.0 uMhos/cm**. For a more detailed explanation, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

The mean annual epilimnetic conductivity at the deep spot this year was **135.73 uMhos/cm**, which is **greater than** the state median.

The **2006** conductivity results for the deep spot and tributaries were **lower than** has been measured **during the past several years**. It is likely that the high water levels during **2006** diluted the conductivity concentration in surface waters throughout the watershed. Specifically, the unusually large amount of watershed runoff from the significant late spring rain events likely exceeded the amount of

groundwater contribution to the tributaries and lake. In addition, any winter contribution of chloride to surface waters from road salt was likely flushed out of the tributaries and the pond before the pond stratified during the summer.

Overall, the conductivity has **increased** in the pond and tributaries since monitoring began. Typically, increasing conductivity indicates the influence of pollutant sources associated with human activities. These sources include failed or marginally functioning septic systems, agricultural runoff, and road runoff which contains road salt during the spring snow-melt. New development in the watershed can alter runoff patterns and expose new soil and bedrock areas, which could also contribute to increasing conductivity. In addition, natural sources, such as iron and manganese deposits in bedrock, can influence conductivity.

We recommend that your monitoring group conduct a shoreline conductivity survey of the lake and the tributaries with **elevated** conductivity to help identify the sources of conductivity.

To learn how to conduct a shoreline or tributary conductivity survey, please refer to the 2004 special topic article, which is posted on the VLAP website at http://www.des.nh.gov/wmb/vlap/2004/documents/Appendix_D.pdf or contact the VLAP Coordinator.

It is possible that de-icing materials applied to nearby roadways during the winter months may be influencing the conductivity in the pond. In New Hampshire, the most commonly used de-icing material is salt (sodium chloride).

*A limited amount of chloride sampling was conducted during **2006**. Please refer to the discussion of **Table 13** for more information.*

➤ **Table 7a and Table 7b: Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen and Nitrite+Nitrate Nitrogen**

Table 7a in Appendix B presents the current year and historical Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen and Table 7b presents the current year and historical nitrite and nitrate nitrogen. Nitrogen is another nutrient that is essential for the growth of plants and algae. Nitrogen is typically the limiting nutrient in estuaries and coastal ecosystems. However, in freshwater, nitrogen is not typically the limiting nutrient. Therefore, nitrogen is not typically sampled through VLAP. However, if phosphorus concentrations in freshwater are elevated, then nitrogen loading may stimulate additional plant and algal growth. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The pond is likely **phosphorus-limited**. Therefore, it is not critical to conduct nitrogen sampling.

➤ **Table 8: Total Phosphorus**

Table 8 in Appendix B presents the current year and historical total phosphorus data for in-lake and tributary stations. Phosphorus is the nutrient that limits the algae's ability to grow and reproduce. Please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The total phosphorus concentration in the **Drew Inlet** was **elevated** on the **July** and **August** sampling events (**40 and 37 ug/L, respectively**). The turbidity of these sample was **slightly elevated (2.5 and 1.42 NTUs, respectively)**, which suggests that erosion is occurring in this area of the watershed.

When the stream bottom is disturbed, sediment that typically contains attached phosphorus is released into the water column. When collecting tributary samples, please be sure to sample where the tributary is flowing and where the stream is deep enough to collect a "clean" sample free from organic debris and sediment.

If you suspect that erosion is occurring in this area of the watershed, we recommend that your monitoring group conduct a stream survey and rain event sampling along this tributary. This additional sampling may allow us to determine what is causing the **elevated** levels of turbidity and phosphorus.

For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event sampling and stream surveys, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report special topic article, which is posted on the VLAP website at http://www.des.nh.gov/wmb/vlap/2002/documents/Appndxd_monitoring.pdf, or contact the VLAP Coordinator.

➤ **Table 9 and Table 10: Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Data**

Table 9 in Appendix B shows the dissolved oxygen/temperature profile(s) collected during **2006**. Table 10 in Appendix B shows the historical and current year dissolved oxygen concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer). The presence of dissolved oxygen is vital to fish and amphibians in the water column and also to bottom-dwelling organisms. Please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

On the **August** sampling event, the pond had a much lower dissolved oxygen concentration in the bottom three meters and a higher total phosphorus concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer) than in the epilimnion (upper layer). These data suggest that the process of **internal phosphorus loading** is occurring in the pond. When the hypolimnetic dissolved oxygen concentration is depleted to less than 1 mg/L in the hypolimnion, **as it was on the annual biologist visit this year and on many previous annual visits**, the phosphorus that is normally bound up with metals in the sediment may be re-released into the water column. Since an internal source of phosphorus in the pond may be present, it is even more important that watershed residents act proactively to minimize phosphorus loading from the watershed.

Oxygen concentration dropped rapidly below 3 meters in the pond, meaning that both the metalimnion and the hypolimnion were low in oxygen (more than 75% of the water column was critically low in oxygen). The **low** oxygen level in the hypolimnion is a sign of the pond's **aging** and **declining** health. This year the DES biologist conducted the dissolved oxygen profile in **August**. We recommend that the annual biologist visit for the **2007** sampling year be scheduled during **June or July** so that we can determine if oxygen is depleted in the hypolimnion **earlier** in the sampling year.

➤ **Table 11: Turbidity**

Table 11 in Appendix B lists the current year and historical data for in-lake and tributary turbidity. Turbidity in the water is caused by suspended matter, such as clay, silt, and algae. Water clarity is strongly influenced by turbidity. Please refer to the "Other Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation

As discussed previously, the hypolimnetic turbidity was **slightly elevated** on the **August** sampling event, as has been **slightly elevated** on many previous sampling events, which suggests that the pond bottom is covered by a thick organic layer of sediment which is easily disturbed.

In addition, the turbidity in the **Drew Inlet** samples was **slightly elevated** on the **July** and **August** sampling events. The turbidity was also **slightly elevated** in the **Taylor Brook** at **Island Pond Road (2.14 NTUs)** on the **August** sampling event. If you suspect that erosion is occurring in these areas of the watershed, we recommend that your monitoring group conduct stream surveys and rain event sampling along these tributaries. This additional sampling may allow us to determine what is causing the **elevated** levels of turbidity and phosphorus.

For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event sampling and stream surveys, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report special topic article, which is posted on the VLAP website at http://www.des.nh.gov/wmb/vlap/2002/documents/Appndxd_monitoring.pdf, or contact the VLAP Coordinator.

➤ **Table 12: Bacteria (*E.coli*)**

Table 12 in Appendix B lists the current year and historical data for bacteria (*E.coli*) testing. *E. coli* is a normal bacterium found in the large intestine of humans and other warm-blooded animals. *E.coli* is used as an indicator organism because it is easily cultured and its presence in the water, in defined amounts, indicates that sewage **may** be present. If sewage is present in the water, potentially harmful disease-causing organisms **may** also be present.

The *E. coli* concentration in the **Drew Inlet** sample was **elevated (250 counts)** on the **July** sampling event. On the **August** sampling event, the ***E.coli*** concentration was ***much lower (10 counts)*** in the **Drew Inlet** sample which is good news.

The *E. coli* concentration in the **Shop Inlet** sample was **elevated (160 counts)** on the **July** sampling event. On the **August** sampling event, the ***E.coli*** concentration was ***much lower (30 counts)*** in the **Drew Inlet** sample which is good news.

The *E. coli* concentration in the **Emmit Dr #36** sample was **elevated** on the **July** sampling event. The concentration of **2000** counts per 100 mL ***was greater than*** the state standard of 406 counts per 100 mL for recreational waters that are not designated public beaches.

We recommend that your monitoring group conduct rain event sampling and bracket sampling next year in this area. This additional sampling may help us determine the source of the bacteria.

For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event sampling and stream surveys, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report special topic article, which is posted on the VLAP website at http://www.des.nh.gov/wmb/vlap/2002/documents/Appndxd_monitoring.pdf, or contact the VLAP Coordinator.

➤ **Table 13: Chloride**

Table 13 in Appendix B lists the current year and the historical data for chloride sampling. The chloride ion (Cl⁻) is found naturally in some surfacewaters and groundwaters and in high concentrations in seawater. Research has shown that elevated chloride levels can be

toxic to freshwater aquatic life. In order to protect freshwater aquatic life in New Hampshire, the state has adopted **acute and chronic** chloride criteria of **860 and 230 mg/L** respectively. The chloride content in New Hampshire lakes is naturally low, generally less than 2 mg/L in surface waters located in remote areas away from habitation. Higher values are generally associated with salted highways and, to a lesser extent, with septic inputs. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

Numerous culverts conveying surface water runoff from areas located near salted roadways were sampled for chloride in March. The results ranged from **36 to 73 mg/L**, which is **less than** the state acute and chronic chloride criteria for surface waters. However, the results were greater than we would normally expect to measure in undisturbed surface waters.

We recommend that the association continue to conduct chloride sampling in the epilimnion at the deep spot and in the inlets near salted-roadways, particularly in the spring during snow-melt and during rain events during the summer. This will establish a baseline of data that will assist your monitoring group and DES to determine lake quality trends in the future.

Please note that there will be an additional cost for each of the chloride samples and that these samples must be analyzed at the DES laboratory in Concord.

In addition, as discussed previously, we encourage the association to continue to work with all Road Agents within the watershed to implement low salt zones in the watershed and possibly utilize more-environmentally friendly alternatives to conventional road salt. We also encourage the association to work with watershed residents to reduce the use of salt on private roads, driveways, and walkways.

➤ **Table 14: Current Year Biological and Chemical Raw Data**

Table 14 in Appendix B lists the most current sampling year results. Since the maximum, minimum, and annual mean values for each parameter are not shown on this table, this table displays the current year “raw,” meaning unprocessed, data. The results are sorted by station, depth, and then parameter.

➤ **Table 15: Station Table**

As of the spring of 2004, all historical and current year V LAP data are included in the DES Environmental Monitoring Database (EMD). To

facilitate the transfer of VLAP data into the EMD, a new station identification system had to be developed. While volunteer monitoring groups can still use the sampling station names that they have used in the past and are most familiar with, an EMD station name also exists for each VLAP sampling location. Table 15 in Appendix B identifies what EMD station name corresponds to the station names you have used in the past and will continue to use in the future.

DATA QUALITY ASSURANCE AND CONTROL

Annual Assessment Audit:

During the annual visit to your pond, the biologist conducted a sampling procedures assessment audit for your monitoring group. Specifically, the biologist observed the performance of your monitoring group while sampling and filled-out an assessment audit sheet to document the ability of the volunteer monitors to follow the proper field sampling procedures, as outlined in the VLAP Monitor's Field Manual. This assessment is used to identify any aspects of sample collection in which volunteer monitors failed to follow proper procedures, and also provides an opportunity for the biologist to retrain the volunteer monitors as necessary. This will ultimately ensure that the samples that the volunteer monitors collect are truly representative of actual lake and tributary conditions.

Overall, your monitoring group did an **excellent** job collecting samples on the annual biologist visit this year! Specifically, the members of your monitoring group followed the proper field sampling procedures and there was no need for the biologist to provide additional training. Keep up the good work!

Sample Receipt Checklist:

Each time your monitoring group dropped off samples at the laboratory this summer, the laboratory staff completed a sample receipt checklist to assess and document if your group followed proper sampling techniques when collecting the samples. The purpose of the sample receipt checklist is to minimize, and hopefully eliminate, future re-occurrences of improper sampling techniques.

Overall, the sample receipt checklist showed that your monitoring group did an **excellent** job when collecting samples and submitting them to the laboratory this year! Specifically, the members of your monitoring group followed the proper field sampling procedures and there was no need for

2006

the laboratory staff to contact your group with questions, and no samples were rejected for analysis.

USEFUL RESOURCES

Best Management Practices to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution: A Guide for Citizens and Town Officials, DES Booklet WD-03-42, (603) 271-2975.

Canada Geese Facts and Management Options, DES fact sheet BB-53, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-53.htm.

Cyanobacteria in New Hampshire Waters Potential Dangers of Blue-Green Algae Blooms, DES fact sheet WMB-10, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wmb/wmb-10.htm.

Erosion Control for Construction in the Protected Shoreland Buffer Zone, DES fact sheet WD-SP-1, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/sp/sp-1.htm.

Impacts of Development Upon Stormwater Runoff, DES fact sheet WD-WQE-7, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wqe/wqe-7.htm.

Lake Protection Tips: Some Do's and Don'ts for Maintaining Healthy Lakes, DES fact sheet WD-BB-9, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-9.htm.

Low Impact Development: Taking Steps to Protect New Hampshire's Surface Waters, DES fact sheet WD-WMB-16, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wmb/wmb-17.htm.

Proper Lawn Care In the Protected Shoreland, The Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, DES fact sheet WD-SP-2, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/sp/sp-2.htm.

Road Salt and Water Quality, DES fact sheet WD-WMB-4, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wmb/wmb-4.htm.

Sand Dumping - Beach Construction, DES fact sheet WD-BB-15, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-15.htm.

Shorelands Under the Jurisdiction of the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, DES fact sheet SP-4, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/sp/sp-4.htm.

Soil Erosion and Sediment Control on Construction Sites, DES fact sheet WQE-6, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wqe/wqe-6.htm.

Watershed Districts and Ordinances, DES fact sheet WD-WMB-16, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wmb/wmb-16.htm.